

APPLICANT(S): Zehavi, Eyal
SERIAL NO.: 10/528,072
FILED: 03/17/2005
Page 7

REMARKS

The present response is intended to be fully responsive to all points of objection and/or rejection raised by the Examiner and is believed to place the application in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the application is respectfully requested.

Applicants assert that the present invention is new, non-obvious and useful. Prompt consideration and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

Status of the Claims

Claims 1-30 are pending in the application. Claims 28 and 29 have been objected to but were deemed to contain allowable subject matter. Claims 1-27 and 30 have been rejected. Claims 1, 17, 20 and 30 have been amended.

CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1, 3-10, 13-17, 19-24 and 27 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bar-Shalom et al. (US 6,535,131) in view of Suzuki (US 6,761,131).

Claim 2, 11-12, 18, and 25-26 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bar-Shalom et al., as modified, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Gentry (US 5,818,354).

Claim 30 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bar-Shalom et al.

Telephone Interview

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner Nguyen, Tai T for granting and attending the telephone interview with Applicants' Representative, Vladimir Sherman, Reg. No. 43,116 on June 2, 2006. During the interview, a new set of proposed claims which Applicant feels better embodies his invention was discussed with Examiner, but since entry of new claims would require a new search, it was agreed that in order to avoid a final office action and to expedite the allowance of the present application the common limitation of allowable claims

APPLICANT(S): Zehavi, Eyal
SERIAL NO.: 10/528,072
FILED: 03/17/2005
Page 8

28 and 29 would instead be incorporated into independent claims 1, 17 and 30. Applicant reserves the right to file a continuation with any of the originally filed claims and with the newly proposed claims.

Claims Amendments

Applicants respectfully assert that the amendments to the claims add no new matter.

Applicants note that none of the amendments to the claims herein are in response to the above discussed prior art rejections, but rather made in an effort to expedite the allowance of the present application.

Therefore, the incorporation of subject matter the examiner stated was allowable into all the independent claims should make claims 1, 17 and 30 allowable. All claims depending therefrom should also be allowable by virtue of their dependence from allowable base claims.

Claim 20 was amended to correct a typo.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the pending claims are deemed to be allowable. Their favorable reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner have any question or comment as to the form, content or entry of this Amendment, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number below. Similarly, if there are any further issues yet to be resolved to advance the prosecution of this application to issue, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned counsel.

Respectfully submitted,



Vladimir Sherman
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Registration No. 43,116

Dated: June 5, 2006